

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| _ | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------|--|-----------------|----------------------|------|-------------------------|------------------|
| ل مر | 09/761,117 | 01/16/2001 | Raju S.K. Chaganti | 437 | 71-A-PCT-US-Y/JPW/EMW | 3093 |
| | 7590 06/12/2003 Cooper & Dunham LLP | | | ſ | EXAMINER | |
| | 1185 Avenue o New York, NY | of the Americas | | | ROMEO, DAVID S | |
| | New Tork, WT 10050 | | | ſ | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 1647 | | |
| | | | | 1 | DATE MAILED: 06/12/2003 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| 09/761,117 | CHAGANTI ET AL. | | | | | |
| Examin r | Art Unit | | | | | |
| David S Romeo | 1647 | | | | | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

| | • |
|--|---|
| Therefore, inal reject condition f | Y FILED 05 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in a rallowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued in (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. |
| | PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] |
| <i>'</i> = | e period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. |
| ev O | e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no int, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ILY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 6:07(f). |
| ave been file 7 CFR 1.17(b) above, if c | s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under j is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ecked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any erm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |
| | tice of Appeal was filed on <u>06 June 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in FR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. |
| 2.⊠ The | proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because; |
| (a) 🛚 | they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) 🛚 | they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); |
| (c) 🛚 | hey are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the ssues for appeal; and/or |
| (d) 🗌 | they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. |
| | NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. |
| 3.□ App | cant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): |
| | y proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment eling the non-allowable claim(s). |
| | a) affidavit, b) \boxtimes exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the cation in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. |
| | affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly d by the Examiner in the final rejection. |
| | urposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an anation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. |
| The | status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: |
| Cla | n(s) allowed: |
| Cla | m(s) objected to: |
| Cla | m(s) rejected: <u>46</u> . |
| Cla | m(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>47-55</u> . |
| B.□ The | proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. |
| 9. Note | the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) |
| 0.⊠ Oth | er: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> |
| | Danie Romo |
| | David S Romeo |
| | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1647 |
| | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/761,117



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment would require further consideration and search of the new claim, further consideration and search for support and priority for the new claim, which raises prior art issues which would require further consideration. The proposed amendment includes limitations not previously examined.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The exhibit and Applicants' arguments are directed to the newly proposed or amend in claim and that amendment has not been entered.

Continuation of 10. Other: Several obvious typographical errors were noted in the last Office action (Paper No. 12), as follows: page 3, line 8, should read "does not describe"; page 4, line 7, should read "does not describe"; and page 5, line 3, should read "does not describe".